

DSL Macros

Building diagrams with `@functorflow` and unicode operators

Simon Frost

Table of contents

Introduction	1
Setup	1
The <code>@functorflow</code> Macro	2
Unicode Operators	3
Compositions	3
Kan Extensions with Σ and Δ	4
Obstruction Loss	5
Ports	5
ACSet Schema Integration	6
Comparing Three Styles	7
When to Use Which	8

Introduction

Julia's macro system allows FunctorFlow to offer a concise, declarative DSL for building categorical diagrams. The `@functorflow` macro uses mathematical notation inspired by the AlgebraicJulia ecosystem — type annotations for objects, arrows (\rightarrow) for morphisms, and unicode operators Σ (left Kan) and Δ (right Kan) for extensions. The legacy `@diagram` macro with `@object/@morphism` sub-macros is still supported for compatibility, but `@functorflow` is the recommended style.

Setup

```
using FunctorFlow
```

The @functorflow Macro

The @functorflow macro takes a name and a begin...end block using a clean, mathematical syntax:

- Objects: name :: kind (type annotation style)
- Morphisms: name = Source → Target (arrow notation)
- Left Kan: name = Σ (:source; along=:relation, ...) (sigma for aggregation)
- Right Kan: name = Δ (:source; along=:relation, ...) (delta for completion)

```
D = @functorflow SimpleTransform begin
  X :: input
  Y :: output
  transform = X → Y
end

println(D)
```

Diagram :SimpleTransform <2 objects, 1 morphisms, 0 Kan, 0 losses>

Each type annotation declares a typed interface and each arrow declares a typed morphism. Objects referenced by morphisms that haven't been declared are automatically created as placeholders.

```
# Morphisms auto-create placeholder objects for undeclared references
D2 = @functorflow AutoCreate begin
  f = A → B
  g = B → C
end

d = as_dict(to_ir(D2))
println("Objects: ", collect(keys(d["objects"])))
println("Operations: ", collect(keys(d["operations"])))
```

```
Objects: [1, 2, 3]
Operations: [1, 2]
```

Unicode Operators

FunctorFlow provides mathematical unicode operators that work both inside and outside the `@functorflow` macro:

Operator	Unicode	ASCII alias	Meaning
Σ	<code>\Sigma</code>	<code>left_kan</code>	Left Kan extension (aggregation)
Δ	<code>\Delta</code>	<code>right_kan</code>	Right Kan extension (completion)
\rightarrow	<code>\to</code>	<code>→</code>	Arrow (morphism declaration)
\circ	<code>\cdot</code>	<code>compose</code>	Composition
\otimes	<code>\otimes</code>	<code>product</code>	Product
\oplus	<code>\oplus</code>	<code>coproduct</code>	Coproduct

Compositions

Use `@compose` inside a `@functorflow` block to chain morphisms sequentially:

```
D3 = @functorflow Pipeline begin
  Raw :: input
  Cleaned :: intermediate
  Embedded :: output

  clean = Raw → Cleaned
  embed = Cleaned → Embedded

  @compose clean embed name=:full_pipeline
end

d3 = as_dict(to_ir(D3))
println("Operations: ", collect(keys(d3["operations"])))
```

Operations: [1, 2, 3]

We can bind implementations and run the composed diagram:

```
bind_morphism!(D3, :clean, x → lowercase(strip(x)))
bind_morphism!(D3, :embed, x → collect{Int, x})

compiled = compile_to_callable(D3)
```

```

result = FunctorFlow.run(compiled, Dict(:Raw => " HELLO "))
println("Cleaned: ", result.values[:clean])
println("Embedded: ", result.values[:embed])

```

```

Cleaned: hello
Embedded: [104, 101, 108, 108, 111]

```

Kan Extensions with Σ and Δ

Inside `@functorflow`, use `$\Sigma(\dots)$` and `$\Delta(\dots)$` for left and right Kan extensions:

```

D4 = @functorflow Aggregator begin
  Messages :: messages
  Neighbors :: relation
  Pooled :: output

  pool =  $\Sigma$ (:Messages; along=:Neighbors, target=:Pooled, reducer=:mean)
end

compiled4 = compile_to_callable(D4)
result4 = FunctorFlow.run(compiled4, Dict(
  :Messages => Dict(:a => 10.0, :b => 20.0, :c => 30.0),
  :Neighbors => Dict(:x => [:a, :b], :y => [:b, :c])
))
println("Pooled (mean): ", result4.values[:pool])

```

```

Pooled (mean): Dict(:y => 25.0, :x => 15.0)

```

Right Kan extensions use `$\Delta(\dots)$` and default to the `:first_non_null` reducer:

```

D5 = @functorflow Completer begin
  Partial :: partial
  Compat :: relation

  repair =  $\Delta$ (:Partial; along=:Compat, reducer=:first_non_null)
end

compiled5 = compile_to_callable(D5)
result5 = FunctorFlow.run(compiled5, Dict(

```

```

    :Partial => Dict(:a => nothing, :b => 42, :c => nothing),
    :Compat => Dict(:a => [:b, :c], :c => [:b])
  ))
  println("Repaired: ", result5.values[:repair])

```

Repaired: Dict{Any, Any}{:a => 42, :c => 42}

Obstruction Loss

Use `@obstruction_loss` to measure non-commutativity between diagram paths:

```

D6 = @functorflow DBSquareDemo begin
  S :: state

  f = S → S
  g = S → S

  @compose f g name=:fg
  @compose g f name=:gf

  @obstruction_loss consistency paths=[(:fg, :gf)] comparator=:l2 weight=1.0
end

bind_morphism!(D6, :f, x → x + 1)
bind_morphism!(D6, :g, x → x * 2)

compiled6 = compile_to_callable(D6)
result6 = FunctorFlow.run(compiled6, Dict(:S => 3.0))
println("f∘g(3) = ", result6.values[:fg])
println("g∘f(3) = ", result6.values[:gf])
println("Obstruction loss: ", result6.losses[:consistency])

```

f∘g(3) = 8.0
 g∘f(3) = 7.0
 Obstruction loss: 1.0

Ports

Ports expose semantic interfaces on a diagram for composition. Use `@port` inside `@functorflow`:

```

D7 = @functorflow PortedModel begin
  Tokens :: messages
  Neighbors :: relation
  Output :: contextualized_messages

  aggregate =  $\Sigma$ (:Tokens; along=:Neighbors, target=:Output, reducer=:sum)

  @port input Tokens direction=:input type=:messages
  @port relation Neighbors direction=:input type=:relation
  @port output Output direction=:output type=:contextualized_messages
end

d7 = as_dict(to_ir(D7))
println("Ports: ", collect(keys(d7["ports"])))

```

Ports: [1, 2, 3]

ACSet Schema Integration

Diagrams built with `@functorflow` can be converted to ACSet representations for use with Catlab.jl:

```

acs = to_acset(D4)
println("Nodes in ACSet: ", nparts(acs, :Node))
println("Kan extensions in ACSet: ", nparts(acs, :Kan))

```

Nodes in ACSet: 3
 Kan extensions in ACSet: 1

```

# Convert to Catlab Presentation for symbolic reasoning
pres = to_presentation(D4)
println("Generators: ", length(FunctorFlow.Catlab.generators(pres)))

```

Generators: 3

Comparing Three Styles

FunctorFlow offers three ways to build diagrams. Here is the same diagram in each style:

1. Builder API (imperative, fine-grained control):

```
D_api = Diagram(:KETManual)
add_object!(D_api, :Values; kind=:messages)
add_object!(D_api, :Incidence; kind=:relation)
add_object!(D_api, :Aggregated; kind=:contextualized_messages)
Σ(D_api, :Values; along=:Incidence, target=:Aggregated, reducer=:sum, name=:aggregate)
expose_port!(D_api, :input, :Values; direction=INPUT, port_type=:messages)
expose_port!(D_api, :output, :Aggregated; direction=OUTPUT, port_type=:contextualized_messages)
println("API diagram: ", D_api.name, " - ", length(D_api.objects), " objects, ", length(D_api.ports), " ports")
```

API diagram: KETManual - 3 objects, 1 operations

2. @functorflow macro (mathematical, recommended):

```
D_ff = @functorflow KETFunctorFlow begin
  Values :: messages
  Incidence :: relation
  Aggregated :: contextualized_messages

  aggregate = Σ(:Values; along=:Incidence, target=:Aggregated, reducer=:sum)

  @port input Values direction=:input type=:messages
  @port output Aggregated direction=:output type=:contextualized_messages
end
println("@functorflow diagram: ", D_ff.name, " - ", length(D_ff.objects), " objects, ", length(D_ff.ports), " ports")
```

@functorflow diagram: KETFunctorFlow - 3 objects, 1 operations

3. Legacy @diagram macro (still supported):

```
D_dsl = @diagram KETMacro begin
  @object Values kind=:messages
  @object Incidence kind=:relation
  @object Aggregated kind=:contextualized_messages

  @left_kan aggregate source=Values along=Incidence target=Aggregated reducer=:sum
end
```

```

@port input Values direction=:input type=:messages
@port output Aggregated direction=:output type=:contextualized_messages
end
println("@diagram (legacy): ", D_dsl.name, " - ", length(D_dsl.objects), " objects, ", length(

```

```
@diagram (legacy): KETMacro - 3 objects, 1 operations
```

All three produce identical diagram structures.

When to Use Which

Scenario	Recommended Style
Quick prototyping	@functorflow macro
Mathematical documentation	@functorflow macro (unicode operators)
Dynamic construction (loops, conditionals)	Builder API with Σ/Δ operators
Block library integration	Builder API (blocks return Diagram objects)
Catlab interop / ACSet conversion	Any style (all produce the same Diagram)
Complex wiring with adapters	Builder API (finer control)

The @functorflow macro is syntactic sugar over the builder API. Use whichever is clearest for your use case — you can always mix styles by starting with @functorflow and then calling builder methods on the resulting Diagram object.